



NordREG
Nordic Energy Regulators

CACM requirements selection of Common Methodology

by Toril Naustvoll Gange

at

Nordic FB Stakeholder Forum

14 Dec, 2015

Radisson Blu Skycity, Arlanda airport/Stockholm


Mandate: Capacity Calculation project

- Follow closely TSOs work on Capacity Calculation, ref. CACM
 - Process towards proposal Common Methodology
 - selection process starts 2016/17?
 - Flow Based or Coordinated NTC?
- Prepare recommendations, decisions, etc. for NordREG Board
 - ensure stakeholder involvement
 - include CWE experience

TSOs' process on Common Methodology

towards

proposal



What is the valid criterion for comparison of methods?

- NRAs: **Efficiency is the valid criterion**
 - **FB is Capacity Calculation approach unless ..** *”TSOs demonstrate that FB would not yet be more efficient compared to Coordinated NTC (CNTC), assuming same level of operational security” (ref. CACM)*
 - maturity of methods is *not*
 - no implementation deadlines are stated in CACM

Choice of methods by end 2016

- FB
 - prototype tool
 - gap due to prototype?

- CNTC
 - NTC only or
 - «NTC-16»? or
 - «Simplified CNTC»? or what?
 - gap «NTC-16»/CNTC?

- Basis for comparison
 - Efficiency criterion

 - Simulations
 - FB-prototype/«CNTC model???»

 - How to analyse the impact of gaps



NordREG
Nordic Energy Regulators

Thank you for your attention!

Senior Advisor Toril Naustvoll Gange/NVE

tna@nve.no